

(3) For each educational institution listed under paragraph (1), the total amount of assistance paid under laws administered by the Secretary to individuals enrolled in programs of education at the educational institution for pursuit of such programs and paid to the educational institution for the education of individuals.

SEC. 12. PERFORMANCE METRICS FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AND WORKFORCE TRAINING PROGRAMS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF METRICS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of Education and the Secretary of Labor, establish metrics for tracking the successful completion of education and workforce training programs carried out under laws administered by the Secretary of Defense.

(b) REPORT ON METRICS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the metrics established under subsection (a), including a description of each such metric.

(c) ANNUAL ASSESSMENT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act and not less frequently than once each year thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress an assessment of the education and workforce training programs described in subsection (a) using the metrics established under such subsection.

(d) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 13. PRIVACY.

Nothing in this title or any of the amendments made by this title shall be construed to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Education, or the Secretary of Labor to release to the public information about an individual that is otherwise prohibited by a provision of law.

SEC. 14. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION AND PROGRAM OF EDUCATION.—The terms “educational institution” and “program of education” have the meanings given such terms in section 3501 of title 38, United States Code.

(2) VETERANS SERVICE ORGANIZATION.—The term “veterans service organization” means any organization recognized by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for the representation of veterans under section 5902 of such title.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 407—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT EXECUTIVES OF THE BANKRUPT FIRM MF GLOBAL SHOULD NOT BE REWARDED WITH BONUSES WHILE CUSTOMER MONEY IS STILL MISSING

Ms. STABENOW (for herself and Mr. ROBERTS) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 407

Whereas on October 31, 2011, MF Global Holdings, Ltd., filed for Chapter 11 bank-

ruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York after reporting that as much as \$900,000,000 in customer money had gone missing;

Whereas MF Global Holdings, Ltd. is the parent company of MF Global, Inc., formerly a futures commission merchant and broker-dealer for thousands of commodities and securities customers;

Whereas following the bankruptcy filing, Judge Louis Freeh, the court-appointed trustee for the liquidation of MF Global Holdings, retained certain employees of the MF Global entities at the time of the bankruptcy, including the chief operating officer, the chief financial officer, the general counsel, and other individuals, in order to assist the liquidation process;

Whereas on March 8, 2012, the Wall Street Journal reported that Mr. Freeh may ask the bankruptcy court judge to approve performance-related bonuses for the chief operating officer, chief financial officer, the general counsel, and the other employees;

Whereas according to the court-appointed trustee for the liquidation of MF Global, Inc. under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 (15 U.S.C. 78aaa et seq.), Mr. James Giddens, the total amount of customer funds still missing could be as much as \$1,600,000,000;

Whereas on March 15, 2012, all of the members of the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate sent a letter to Mr. Freeh urging him not to reward senior executives of the bankrupt MF Global entities with performance-related bonuses while customer money is still missing;

Whereas on March 16, 2012, Mr. Freeh responded to the members of the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate, stating that he has not made any decisions regarding the payment of bonuses to former senior executives of the firm;

Whereas the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, the court-appointed trustee for the liquidation of MF Global, Inc. under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 (15 U.S.C. 78aaa et seq.), and other Federal authorities are investigating the events leading up to the bankruptcy in an effort to return customer money and prosecute any wrongdoing; and

Whereas as of the date of agreement to this resolution, none of the investigators have stated public conclusions regarding the exact location of the missing money or whether criminal wrongdoing was involved: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that bonuses should not be paid to the executives and employees who were responsible for the day-to-day management and operations of MF Global until its customers' segregated account funds are repaid in full and investigations by Federal authorities have revealed both the cause of, and parties responsible for, the loss of millions of dollars of customer money.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1953. Mr. MENENDEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, to eliminate unnecessary tax subsidies and promote renewable energy and energy conservation; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1954. Mr. MENENDEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1955. Mr. KOHL (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. CASEY, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. MANCHIN, and

Mr. SCHUMER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1956. Mr. BARRASSO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1957. Mr. BARRASSO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1958. Mr. HELLER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1959. Mr. PAUL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1960. Mr. PAUL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1961. Mr. PRYOR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1962. Mr. PRYOR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1963. Mr. INHOFE (for himself and Mr. BARRASSO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1964. Mr. BROWN, of Massachusetts submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1965. Mr. VITTER (for himself and Mr. SESSIONS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1966. Mr. WICKER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1967. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1968. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2204, supra.

SA 1969. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 1968 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill S. 2204, supra.

SA 1970. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2204, supra.

SA 1971. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 1970 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill S. 2204, supra.

SA 1972. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 1971 proposed by Mr. REID to the amendment SA 1970 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill S. 2204, supra.

SA 1973. Mr. TESTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1974. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2204, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1975. Mr. MERKLEY (for himself, Mr. LEE, Mr. TESTER, Mr. BAUCUS, and Mr. WYDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1789, to improve, sustain, and transform the United States Postal Service; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1976. Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself, Mr. VITTER, Mr. BEGICH, and Mr. BARRASSO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2204, to eliminate unnecessary tax subsidies and promote renewable energy and energy conservation; which was ordered to lie on the table.